

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,953	03/08/2004	German Lakov	031383-9107-00	1415
23409	7590 12/05/2005		EXAM	INER
	EST & FRIEDRICH, NSIN AVENUE	LLP	GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
MILWAUKER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·, ···		2834	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ε				A.		
.,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/795,953	LAKOV ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Julio C. Gonzale	z 2834	·		
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence	address		
Period for	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at the part of the provision of	AILING DATE OF THIS CO of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how unication. tutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 16 November 2005.				
2a)□	,	b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.			
3)						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•	ration	·		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		4.10 11.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election require	ement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)🖂	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	7 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Action or form	PTO-152		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priority under 35	i U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	eived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	eived in Application No			
	,	, ,	ave been received in this Nation	nal Stage		
	application from the Internation	•	• • •			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified o	opies not received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date 6/04 + 10/04	6)	Other:	•		

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-6) in the reply filed on 11/16/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauw (US 5,028,804) in view of Curtiss et al (US 4,417,194).

Lauw discloses an engine control system, a grid 34, an engine 36 driving a generator 50, a set point 108 for the engine, sensors 82, 84 for measuring a parameter between the grid 34 and the engine 36 (see figure 1) and controller 110, 86. Also, it is disclosed that the control system controls the engine power (column 35, line 25-29; column 37, lines 30-55).

However, Lauw does not show explicitly using a load in the system.

Art Unit: 2834

On the other hand, Curtiss et al discloses for the purpose of providing a power system with improved power factor correction, an engine 24 driving generator 12 and outputs connected to grid and load 18 (see figure 1).

Moreover, a current sensor detects a current via line 35b, which sends signal to controllers 36, 34, 30 (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a system as disclosed by Lauw and to connect a grid and a load to a generator for the purpose of providing a power system with an improved power factor correction as disclosed by Curtiss et al.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauw and Curtiss et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kumar (US 5,998,880).

The combined system discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined system does not disclose using a synchronous generator.

On the other hand, Kumar discloses for the purpose of minimizing the difference between a commanded power and a computed power, an engine 11 driving a synchronous generator 12 (see abstract and figure 1).

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined system as disclosed above and to use a synchronous generator for the purpose of minimizing the difference between a commanded power and a computed power as disclosed by Kumar.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauw and Curtiss et al as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Sinha et al (US 6,281,595).

The combined system discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined system does not disclose that the current has a plurality of directions.

On the other hand, Sinha et al discloses for the purpose of providing an inexpensive power generation system, an engine 12 driving a generator 14, a load 16, grid 44 and a bus in which the current flows in two directions (see figures 2, 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined system as disclosed above and to have a current flow in multiple directions for the purpose of providing an inexpensive power generation system as disclosed by Sinha et al.

Art Unit: 2834

6. Claims 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauw and Curtiss et al as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Ordinary Skill in the Art.

The Prior Art discloses the claimed invention except for the amperage of current flow. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a current flow above 100 amps and 500 amps, since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-2024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jon John John

Julio C. Gonzalez Examiner Art Unit 2834

Jcg

November 28, 2005